Review

Nate Wells

Math 141, 4/29/22

Outline

In this lecture, we will...

• Review methods for determining appropriate inference procedure

Section 1

Review

Announcements/Reminders

- Lab 13 (ANOVA and Multilinear Regression) distributed yesterday,
 - Spend approximately 80 minutes (1 lab session) working on it
 - Turning in is optional, and will replace lower lab score (Due 9am Monday, 5/9)
- Homework 13 Due Today
- Group Project: Assignment 3 due 5pm today (ask for an extension if needed)

What's left?

- I'll hold some office hours during reading & finals week (Announced soon)
- Final exams:
 - Distributed Monday of Finals week
 - Similar instructions as midterm.
 - Open book/note/anything we've done in class.
 - 3 hour time limit
 - Focuses mostly on material from second half of course, especially inference and infer.
 - Study guide will appear during reading week
- Group Projects
 - Final assignment due MONDAY of finals week
 - 10 minute video presentation OR 3-4 page technical report
 - You will also be assigned to give (anonymous) feedback on another group's assignment.

The statistical inference procedure should be driven by:

The statistical inference procedure should be driven by:

• The original research question

The statistical inference procedure should be driven by:

- The original research question
- The available data

The statistical inference procedure should be driven by:

- The original research question
- The available data

The general method for selecting a procedure is...

The statistical inference procedure should be driven by:

- The original research question
- The available data

The general method for selecting a procedure is...

- 1 Identify the research question of interest and the relevant variables
- Oetermine which variables are explanatory and which are response, along with their types
- Articulate the relevant *parameters* of those variables in the population (i.e. a mean, a proprotion,...)
- Assess whether the research question can be answered by giving a plausible range of values for the parameter(s), or testing claims about the parameter(s)
- Select an appropriate inference procedure that can be used to answer the research question

Suppose we are interested in finding a plausible range for the average height of Reed students in inches.

How would we conduct this investigation?

Scenario 1 Discussion

We want to do inference on one quantitative variable height.

- We want to find a confidence interval for μ , the mean height of Reed students.
- Simulation Use bootstrapping to approximate the sampling distribution for m̂u, then get_ci.
- Theory-based From your sample, approximate the SE for the mean by:

$$SE = \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$$

where n = sample size.

Then, the CI is:

Sample Mean $\pm t^* \cdot SE$

where t^* is found based on your confidence level, using a *t*-distribution with n - 1 df, e.g. for a 80% confidence interval with n = 6:

```
t_star <- qt(p = 0.90, df = 5 )
t_star</pre>
```

[1] 1.48

(The 0.90 is because we need to find the 0.90 quantile to get a 80% Cl)

Nate Wells

Nearsightedness (a condition characterized by seeing nearby things clearly, but difficulty focusing on far away objects) is believed to affect 8% of children.

How could we test this claim?

Scenario 2 Discussion

We have one categorical variable (near_sighted) with two levels ("Yes" or "No").

We are trying to determine if it's really 8%.

 $H_0: p = 0.08$ $H_a: p \neq 0.08$

So, we should go out and collect an SRS of children, and determine the proportion of \hat{p} who are nearsighted. Say we have a sample size of 125, where 11.2% are near-sighted.

Simulation-based solution

Since our null hypothesis tells the proportion p, we can use infer to simulate sampling from a population with p = 0.08:

```
sample %>% specify(response = near_sighted, success = "Yes") %>%
hypothesise(null = "point", p = 0.08) %>%
generate(reps = 5000, type = "simulate") %>%
calculate(stat = "prop") %>%
get_p_value(obs_stat = obs_stat, direction = "both")
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 1
## p_value
## <dbl>
## 1 0.253
```

Scenaraio 2 continued

For a theory-based method, under the null hypothesis, the sampling distribution of \hat{p} should be approximately normal with:

• Mean = 0.08
• SE =
$$\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{0.08(1-0.08)}{125}} = 0.024.$$

Then, the *z*-score:

$$z = \frac{\hat{p} - 0.08}{SE} = \frac{\hat{p} - 0.08}{0.027} = \frac{0.112 - 0.08}{0.24} = 1.3$$
 follows a standard normal (mean = 0, sd = 1).

The p-value is the area of the region in BOTH tails which are at least as extreme a 2.5: 2*(1-pnorm(1.3, mean = 0, sd = 1))

[1] 0.194

A 2021 Gallup poll surveyed 3941 students pursing a 4-year degree, and 2064 pursuing a 2-year degree.

- 51% of the students in 4-year programs said COVID-19 negatively impacted their ability to complete the degree.
- 44% of students in 2 year plans were negatively impacted.

How would we investigate how much more of a negative impact COVID-19 had on students seeking a 4 year degree, vs a 2 year degree.

Scenario 3 Continued

We have two variables:

- degree_program (4 year or 2 year, categorical)
- impacted ("Yes" or "No", categorical)

We want to study the variables

- *p*₁: Proportion of students seeking a 4-year degree.
- *p*₂: Proportion of students seeking a 2-year degree.

For a simulation-based CI, we would use infer with:

```
boot_dist <- the_data %>%
specify(impacted ~ degree_program, success = "Yes") %>%
generate(reps = 2000, type = "bootstrap") %>%
calculate(stat = "diff in prop", order = c("4_year", "2_year"))
boot_dist %>% get_ci(type = "percentile", point_estimate = 0.51 - 0.44)
```

Scenario 3 - theory based

For a theory based CI, we know that sampling distribution for **the diff in props is approximately normal.** So, our confidence interval is given by:

Observed Stat
$$\pm z^* \cdot SE = 0.07 \pm z^* \cdot SE$$

Where:

- Observed Stat = 0.51 0.44 = 0.07 is the difference we observed in our data.
- z^* is the critical value for the sampling distribution. (95% confidence interval, use $z^* = 2$ or 1.96, otherwise use pnorm)

For the SE, we know that the SE for the diff of props is: $\sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}}$

Using our estimates:

$$p_1 \approx 0.51, \quad p_2 \approx 0.44 \quad n_1 = 3941 \quad n_2 = 2064$$

so

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{0.51(1-0.51)}{3941} + \frac{0.44(1-0.44)}{2064}} \approx 0.014$$

So, a 95% CI is:

$$0.07 \pm 1.96 \cdot 0.014$$
 or $(0.04, 0.10)$

A baker is interested in determining whether bagels made with instant yeast tend to rise more than bagels made with active dry yeast. How should they do this investigation?

Scenario 4 dicussion

The baker would need to figure out a way to quantify "how much did this bagel rise?" (Perhaps a subjective rating on a scale of 1 to 10?), giving a variable rise. Then, we have two variables:

- rise (quantitative)
- yeast (categorical)

The baker wants to test:

$$H_0: \mu_{Instant} - \mu_{AD} = 0$$
 $H_a: \mu_{Instant} - \mu_{AD} \neq 0$

Given some data, we could use infer with:

```
null_dist <- the_data %>%
specify(rise ~ yeast) %>%
hypothesize(null = "independence") %>%
generate(reps = 2000, type = "permute") %>%
calculate(stat = "diff in means")
null_dist %>% get_p_value(obs_stat = actual_diff_from_sample, direction = "right")
```

Scenario 4, theory based

For theory-based techniques, we would need to use the test-statistic:

$$t = \frac{\text{(Observed Difference in Means)} - 0}{SE}$$
$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}$$

where:

for s_1 and s_2 the sample SD. Then, t follows a t-distribution with $df = \min(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1)$, and we can use pt to find the p-value.

Suppose we are interested in whether the United States is experiencing more days of 90 degree weather in 2020 than it did in 1970.

We have weather data from 50 randomly sampled locations in the NOAA data base.

How would we do this investigation?

Scenario 5 discussion

We have two variables,

- year (which we should probably think of as categorical with two levels),
- days_over_90 (quantitative)

We would count the number of 90 degree days in 1970 at each location, and do the same for 90 degree days in 2020.

Note that the observations in the 1970 and 2020 data is NOT independent–They are drawn from the same locations. So, we should use "Paired means".

So, for each location, we should consider the variable

 $\label{eq:Difference} \begin{array}{l} {\rm Difference} = (90 \mbox{ degree days in } 2020) - (90 \mbox{ degree days in } 1970) \\ {\rm Then, we should do inference for the mean of the Difference variable. (e.g., a hypothesis test for whether $\mu_{\rm Difference} = 0.$) \\ \end{array}$

Suppose researchers are interested in understanding the relationship between the number of drinks a person has, and their Blood Alcohol Content (BAC) after 30 minutes.

How might we conduct this investigation? What other factors might we consider?

Scenario 6 discussion

Because both num_drinks and bac are quantitative, linear regression is reasonable.

In fact, there is some data on this problem:



		· ·
Izak	<u> </u>	1
- nai	 •	

term	estimate	std_error	statistic	p_value	lower_ci	upper_ci
intercept	-0.013	0.013	-1.00	0.332	-0.040	0.014
beers	0.018	0.002	7.48	0.000	0.013	0.023

Suppose we'd like to determine whether there is a difference between the amount of studying done per week among students in the Reed divisions (Arts, HHS, ID, LL, MNS, PRPL).

What should we do?

Scenario 7 discussion

We are working with one categorical variable (division) with more than two levels, and one quantitative hours_studying.

We are really interested in determining whether the mean amount of hours studying among all divisions are all the same, i.e.,:

 $H_0: \mu_{Arts} = \mu_{HHS} = \mu_{LL} = \mu_{MNS} = \mu_{PRPL}$ $H_a:$ at least one is different

This is a case for an ANOVA test, using an "F" statistic.

```
Using infer:
null_dist <- the_data %>%
    specify(hours_studying ~ division) %>%
    hypothesize(null = "independence") %>%
    generate(reps = 2000, type = "permute") %>%
    calculate(stat = "F")
null dist %>% get p value(obs stat = actual F from sample, direction = "right")
```

What is Statistics?

On the first day of class, you said that statistics is...

What is Statistics?

On the first day of class, you said that statistics is...



What is Statistics?

On the first day of class, you said that statistics is...



What will you say now?